

Entrance to Tin Bridge Cottages, Faversham - Proposed Double Yellow Lines - FIRST CONSULTATION

Response	Support	Object	Comments
1	1		Just a length of a car to let us pull into our layby, which is our entrance to our home. It would be a great help. Please keep our bus stop.
2		1	This parking area is essential to some of the residents of Boughton Field Cottages, their visitors and deliveries. The problem is non-residents leaving vehicles for days on end, cars for sale, commercial vans left, overnight lorry parking etc. I would suggest restricted parking for a limited time period or residents parking only opposite Boughton Field Cottages, double yellow lines from the tin bridge access to bus stop markings and either side of residents parking bays to keep clear entrance to Tn Bridge Farm and Tin Bridge Cottages (I work for Highways, Medway Council, so have experience)
3	0.5	0.5	Support with reservations. The area circled over the eastern end of the proposed line is a road entrance anyway and already comes under relevant rules. The area circled over the western end of the proposed lines does not impinge on traffic or bus stop. Otherwise the proposal is acceptable to us. Please note: Bus drivers frequently miss the bus stop because of greenery sticking outwards and obstructing the view of the bus stop. Please sort it out. We use this bus stop every day.
4		1	Just a note to confirm that we object to the proposed yellow lines at Tin Bridge, Canterbury Rd, Faversham. We have lived at the above address for 34 years and have always parked our vehicles in the layby as we have nowhere else to park. Even if we could park at the front of our house, no family or friends could visit us as they have nowhere to park, neither would any delivery drivers or workers who we may need at our house for repairs or maintenance work. This applies to our neighbours as well. We would also like to say that in the 34 years we have lived here, we cannot remember any accident or incident caused by vehicles entering or exiting to Tin Bridge Cottages, this includes the dustcart lorry and cesspool lorry and delivery vans. I feel a check on police accident records would confirm this.
5	0	1	I object to the proposals. There is no need for double yellow lines as local residents and family members all need to use the layby as the road is too dangerous to park on the kerb opposite the layby.
Total	1.5	3.5	

Prop. Consult	10		
No. returned	5	50	% Response
No. Support	1.5	30	% Support
No. Object	3.5	70	% Object

Stagecoach Bus Company Comments	Thank you for keeping us updated with this consultation. Whilst I fully support this proposal can I ask that the bus stops in the below picture are properly marked as Bus Clearways as I fear adding these lines will either be ignored by residents or just force them over to the Bus Stop layby circled in red (bus layby opposite, east of properties)
---------------------------------	---

Entrance to Tin Bridge Cottages, Faversham - Proposed Double Yellow Lines - SECOND CONSULTATION

Response	Support	Object	Comments
1	1		<p>have you actually been in contact with the farmer whose farm entrance you plan to put the yellow lines across? It is in constant use throughout the day and is also the entrance to the Tinbridge campsite used by a large community of resident farm workers. It's just unusual to paint double yellows across a road - they would be quickly obliterated by heavy vehicles and crossing traffic.</p>
2		1	<p>We would like to object to the proposal to install double yellow lines and bus stop clear way at Tin Bridge Cottages. Although in some ways we can see benefits from this proposal in preventing the lay-by from filling up with non- residential vehicles particularly commuters who use it as a park and ride area and businesses that leave their commercial vehicles there for sometimes weeks at a time in actual fact this proposal would not prevent this. We know that one of the reasons for this proposal was to leave a clear turning area for residents at Tin Bridges and we support this idea and in principle the idea of a balance between double yellow lines, some parking and a bus clear way is a good one. However when you look at how big the area is it is clear that there would then only be two or three parking spaces available. Some of our neighbours have no other choice but to park opposite in this area and as two of them work early shift hours this would mean that they would return home from work with nowhere for them to park. That's nowhere else to park for at least half a mile in any direction. We are afraid that this would lead to them and others beginning to park on the pavement outside their homes at Boughton Field Cottages on the opposite side of the road. This would obstruct the way for pedestrians, pushchairs etc who would then have to walk in the road and also block line of sight for the other residents who have drive ways to drive on and off of creating additional difficulties on what is already an extremely dangerous high speed road with a blind crest at tin bridge and a busy entrance to tin bridge campsite and Edward Vinson Farm. A farm worker was seriously injured on this road and airlifted to hospital a couple of weeks ago. Apart from residents there are other people who use this parking area. Network rail have a railway access point at Tin Bridge and regularly have three or more mini buses parked here when they have gangs performing works on the line here. Any deliveries to any of the properties here use this parking area On a daily basis, Amazon, Hermes, Tesco's the post van. Once you start thinking of the negative implications of this proposal it is clear that it's implementation will create far more problems than it solves and probably lead to dangerous consequences.</p>

3	1		If we could have lines from (No.4 down ways) leaving parking for our 4 houses. But it would help us a lot with having lines, because we have problem getting in and out of our access when people leave their cars parked there all day.
4		1	As stated previous, we have lived at our address for 34 years, the layby size has not been altered, the width at the entrance to Tin Bridge is wide enough for lorries to pass any parked vehicle so it is wider than most country roads in the area. When exiting or entering, the only danger is the traffic on the A2. In the 34 years we have lived here we cannot remember any accident or incident caused by vehicles entering or exiting the layby, this should be checked through accident reports. We note you had feedback from the bus company, once again in the 34 years we have lived here we are unaware of any complaints or problems that warranted a complaint to the Council, again this should be checked. The yellow lines opposite Boughton Field Cottages are where we have parked for 34 years without receiving any complaint from the Council, bus company, police or anyone else. The layby is well used by passing traffic, visitors to Boughton Field Cottages, anyone doing work at any of the properties, and especially deliveries. Considering how much more needs to be delivered, the little space left in the layby to park would not be enough for the many that now use it. This would force us (my, my wife and family) and all the others we have mentioned to park on the A2. This stretch of road is 50mph and has had its fair share of accidents, again you should check this. The vehicles these proposals would force onto the A2 would not just be parked, people would be getting in and out of them also unloading from them. Someone will definitely be seriously hurt or worse if you alter the use of this layby. There's a saying that goes if it ain't broke don't fix it, please please leave it (the layby) alone.
Total	2	2	
Prop. Consult	10		
No. returned	4	40	% Response
No. Support	2	50	% Support
No. Object	2	50	% Object

Kings Road/Queens Road, Minster - Proposed Double Yellow Lines

Response	Support	Object	Comments
1	1		I support the proposal for double yellow lines. Since planning was granted on the south side to #'s 7 and 9 for off road parking, this junction has become a hazard for access both in and out. The whole street has been developed and family cars, in conjunction with trade at the COOP and part of the bus route means this road is often congested, especially come the summer months with thru traffic via the holiday areas to the east of the Island. You should also consider the junction with Union road and Queens Rd. This junction is often used to provide overflow for both the COOP and Friendship house access/ parking.
2	1		Hooray I fully support the proposed double yellow lines outside my house. I've been complaining for years that this is a dangerous corner, there has been so many near misses and incidents that are not reported so this is great news, the amount of times people park over my driveway is infuriating, they park dangerously on the corner so I cannot manoeuvre into my drive, i have a disabled husband who cannot walk far so this makes it really awkward, please will someone be monitoring this when it is done as there are many selfish drivers out there that will still park over yellow lines so they need to be fined.
3	1		I welcome the double yellow lines on this junction, I have witnessed many accidents and near collisions and verbal abuse at this junction, and imagine it will be a huge relief to all of Kings Road residents to pull out safely from their homes. Well done SBC.
4	1		Not only is this junction dangerous so is the Vicarage Road/Queens Road one. Although there is a keep clear marking there when trying to exit or enter Vicarage Road. Cars parked here (plan included) also block vision from left to right of Vicarage Road. Keep clear area regularly parked on blocking access to rear of 11/9/7 and access to 3 Queens Road in Vicarage.
5	1		I use a mobility scooter, I use the road but sometimes tend not to because I can't see past lorries so use pavement. I've had one near miss. Pavement are not ideal either.
6	1		I think this is an incredibly good idea, as it is becoming increasingly more difficult to see anything when trying to pull out of Kings Road. It would be nice to have the double yellow lines across the bottom of Vicarage Road too, as this is continuously blocked by people using the Co-op, making access for the houses there impossible and also pulling out of my own driveway difficult at times.
Total	6	0	

Prop. Consult	10		
No. returned	6	60	% Response
No. Support	6	100	% Support
No. Object	0	0	% Object

Princes Avenue/Queens Road, Minster - Proposed Double Yellow Lines

Response	Support	Object	Comments
1	1		I can see this change may increase the parking outside of my property, however, I am supporting this proposal due to safety concerns and for the greater good.
Total	1	0	

Prop. Consult	12		
No. returned	1	8	% Response
No. Support	1	100	% Support
No. Object	0	0	% Object

Imperial Avenue/Queens Road, Minster - Proposed Double Yellow Lines

Response	Support	Object	Comments
1		1	If this proposal goes through it will cause more parking issues along Queens Rd and you'll then get cars/vans parking down and close to the junction on Imperial Ave so I don't agree. We are in the middle of a pandemic and I'm guessing the people who are complaining should not be going out?? Could you please inform the residents of imperial Ave of this as all this is obviously their doing. Why is it necessary? Is it because they clearly cannot manoeuvre or judge pulling out of the junction? If this is the case do you not think it's time to hang up them keys and consider public transport. I live at ** Queens Rd and have for 15 years and I'm very sure it's not the parking that's a concern it's the speed in which cars travel along Queens Rd that's the issue. Get the speed cameras up there, make it a 20 mph zone but don't take away our parking. If you put a double line there then there's argument for double yellows on the other junctions along Queens Rd. I work in and around Kent and come across some very challenging roads and junctions in residential areas far worse than at the end of Imperial Ave Minster. I've currently been working all through lockdown working in 7 to 8 properties a day doing essential work ***** risking my health my family's health so I have enough stress to deal with and I get this letter on my doorstep telling me that I may have trouble finding a parking space *** near my house, well I tell you now if I do have trouble it will be parked down Imperial so please inform the complaining residents of this.

2	0.5	0.5	I don't really see the necessity for these yellow lines, but if it decided to install them, I suggest the 10 metres be measured from the edge of the carriageway in Imperial Avenue (the edge of the grass vere). This would relate to the view from any car leaving Imperial Avenue and also allow a little more room for the owner of **** to continue to park his van in Queens Road, However, I don't see that the volume of traffic in either Imperial Avenue or Queens Road warrants this.
3	1		I wholeheartedly 100% agree with the proposals or double yellow lines. However, I think you could go a lot further and provide DYLS the entire length of Queens Road on the side you indicate. There have been accidents at the junction of Imperial Avenue/Queens Road in the past and I am sure also at other junctions along Queens Road. All due to drivers visual impairment due to vehicles parked on the junctions. My suggestion to extend the entire length is due to obvious problems emergency services, buses and large delivery vehicles experience navigating Queens Road when vehicles are parked both sides plus when joining Queens Road from the junction such as Imperial Ave. This has resulted in traffic jams in the past & this is detrimental to effective attendance to emergencies by the emergency services. Though the Coop mini market is a much-needed local service in Minster and on Queens Road area many shoppers cause a bottle neck when parking to use the shop. This has caused unnecessary traffic issues over an exceptionally long period. Please consider this as homes on that side of the road have driveways plus most on the opposite do as well. Effective monitoring of offenders in necessary as a lot of people ignore DYLS on the Island.
4		1	I live at *** Imperial Ave. I object to the proposal of double yellow lines at the junction of Imperial Ave/Queens Road, I have lived at this property for 27 Years, parking has never been a problem or I have never known of any accidents at this junction. By adding yellow lines you will be forcing residents/visitors to park, down a unmade road (imperial Ave) and park at a narrow stretch of road which is right outside my house and it can already be difficult to park, causing congestion and more confrontation between residents/visitors . As I understand you do not own imperial Ave it is privately owned which will lead to more confrontation. If there is a problem with this junction it is not that drivers can't see at the junction, ITS THE Speeding DRIVERS going down Queens Road, so I think council money would be better spent on stopping the speeding drivers,(who don't live near here) than penalising the residents who do live there.
Total	1.5	2.5	

Prop. Consult	10		
No. returned	4	40	% Response
No. Support	1.5	37	% Support
No. Object	2.5	63	% Object

Stanley Avenue/Queens Road, Minster - Proposed Double Yellow Lines

Response	Support	Object	Comments
1	1		we support your proposal to put yellow lines at the junction of Stanley Avenue and Queens Road Minster, also our Road which is Queens Road is a very busy road used like a race track and would welcome a camera to slow traffic down
2		1	I live at number ** Queens Road and have never in the 5 years of living here had issues with cars / vans parked on the corners of Stanley avenue, there are never cars parked in these places so I can't see where this has come from. If these proposed double yellow lines come round past my house like in the image you've supplied this will inconvenience us at number ** because we won't be able to ever park outside our house if we wanted to which isn't on that corner, we always park on the drive anyway but having this taken away would make things awkward if we needed to park there. We wanted to maybe have a dropped curb in the centre of our house because we are renovating at the moment and was looking into this for the future and these yellow lines would mean we can't have a dropped curb there if these lines we're out in place. We already have a dropped curb for access to our driveway that's almost on the corner of the junction already so no one parks there like I said above. I'm not sure if it's viable but could somebody not come and monitor this so called parking issue before the councils money is wasted.
3	1		
4	1		

5		1	<p>(Attached are photos as supporting evidence) We feel there is a clear and an unobstructive view for vehicles as they turn in and out of Stanley Avenue, and there is no requirements for double yellow lines. As you will see from the photos there are rarely any vehicles parked in the area where the yellow lines are being proposed, and on the odd occasion where there has been a vehicle parked in the proposed area there is still an ample observation area to view oncoming traffic as reflected in the photos. Queens Road has a number of roads that lead off of it, Stanley Avenue being the least populated. Having observed traffic parked at all other junctions on Queens Road (Especially near the COOP) I cannot see the justification for using allocated funding for Stanley Avenue. The population is only a quarter compared to other roads that lead off of Queens Road, it is the least utilized, it has the least traffic parked on the junction compared to all other roads and we believe it has the best observation viewing due to it being on a slight hill (rather than the others being on a flat road) and therefore entering or leaving the junction allows you to clearly assess the risk. We have attached two further photos illustrating the lack of double yellows and vision on a main road off Baldwin Road (Chapel Street). In our view there are a number of higher priorities that we feel would benefit the wider neighborhood safety than providing Stanley Avenue with double yellows. We accept that Queens Road is often used as a cut through, which maybe what has instigated this requested, however it would not fix the root cause. Providing speed bumps on Queens Road would be more value and a better use of the funding/grant, this will support the safety of all turning in and pulling out Stanley Avenue, as well as all other residents along Queens Road. I would also reiterate that the funding is better placed in other areas, ie. -Nearer the COOP or on Baldwin Avenue, leading into Chapel Street (See Attachment 3). Queens Road could benefit from traffic calming which would benefit all residence, rather than budget being used on a unnecessary project that would add no real value to the traffic risk. As a side note not only do we feel this proposal will add no real value it will provide negativity and parking issues for Queens Road. Albeit small the inability for the two houses affected not being able to park outside their own homes, will have a catalogue effect on all other residence</p>
Total	3	2	

Prop. Consult	12		
No. returned	5	42	% Response
No. Support	3	60	% Support
No. Object	2	40	% Object